	Case 2:23-cv-01565-KJM-CKD Document 2 Filed 07/31/23 Page 1 of 2				
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10	INITED CEATEC DICTRICT COLUT				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	ALEXANDRA M. CHAPA, Case No. 1:23-cv-01118-JLT-SKO				
14	Plaintiff,				
15	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE v.				
16					
17	NATOMAS PARK MASTER ASSOCIATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS,				
18	et al.,				
19	Defendants.				
20					
21	On July 27, 2023, Plaintiff Alexandra M. Chapa, proceeding pro se, filed a complaint against				
22					
23	Lyon Real Estate-Natomas; and Victoria Janns. (Doc. 1.) The complaint purports to allege claims				
24	for mail fraud, wire tapping, and negligence arising from an alleged interference with Plaintiff's				
25	mail . (See id.)				
26	It is apparent from a reading of Plaintiff's allegations in the complaint that the gravamen of				
27	this case arose in the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California, and any relationship				
28	with the Fresno Division is minimal. Plaintiff is a resident of Sacramento, as well as are most of				

Case 2:23-cv-01565-KJM-CKD Document 2 Filed 07/31/23 Page 2 of 2

1	the named def	endants. All	l of events at issue in	n the complaint allegedly occurred in Sacramento.		
2	For these reasons, this case should be transferred to the Sacramento Division of the Eastern Division					
3	of California. Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the					
4	proper court may, on the Court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court.					
5	Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:					
6	1.	This case is t	transferred to the Sacr	ramento Division of the United States District Court		
7	for the Eastern District of California; and					
8	2.	All future fil	lings shall refer to th	e new Sacramento case number assigned and shall		
9		be filed at:	United States Dist Eastern District of			
1011			501 "I" Street, Su Sacramento, CA 9	ite 4-200		
12	This C	ourt observes	s that Plaintiff has no	either paid the requisite filing fee for this case, nor		
13	has she submit	ted an applic	eation to proceed in fo	orma pauperis.		
14						
14	IE IG GO ODE	EDED				
15	IT IS SO ORD	DERED.				
	IT IS SO ORD		_			
15			_	<u>Isl Sheila K. Oberto</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15 16			_	-		
15 16 17				-		
15 16 17 18				-		
15 16 17 18 19			_	-		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21				-		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22				-		
15 16 17 18 19 20				-		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24				-		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23				-		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25				-		